

REMARKS

Claims 33-42 are pending in this application.

The Abstract has been objected to for minor formalities. A new abstract is submitted herewith which overcomes the deficiencies cited in the Office Action.

The drawings have been objected to for improper cross-hatching. It is respectfully submitted the Figures submitted on October 20, 2005 overcome the deficiencies cited in the Office Action.

Claims 22, and 24-32 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 2,641,629 to Bennett. In addition, claims 22, 24-32 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Courtot in view of Zeigler. It is respectfully submitted that the claims 22 and 24-32 have been cancelled and new claims directed towards an industrial robot have been added. It is respectfully submitted that Bennett, Courtot and Zeigler are not directed to an industrial robot. Thus, new claims 33-42 are allowable over the cited prior art.

It is respectfully submitted that the above-identified application is now in condition for allowance. Prompt notice of same is earnestly solicited. If the Examiner believes that a telephone interview may expedite the prosecution of the Application, the Examiner is invited to contact the below attorney at the indicated telephone number.

Respectfully submitted,

Arator IP Law Group PLLC

By: 

Steven S. Payne

Registration No. 35,316

Date: February 25, 2008

Arator IP Law Group PLLC
P.O. Box 65024
Washington, DC 20036
Phone: 202-828-9299
Fax: 202-828-9399